GISC Tokyo  status

Annex 1

[Action 14/1-1]

Noted, take into account

[Action 14/1-2]

In this year,
04/2014 Workshop with NCHMF (Vietnam)
04/2014 Workshop with PAGASA (Philippines)
06/2014 Workshop with DMH (Myanmar)
11/2014 WIS Workshop which is in line with the WIS Competency framework is scheduled to be held in JMA (Japan) in November 18-20.
In addition support through email, ...

[Action 14/1-3]

JMA comments were not reflected in ICT-TSS final report.
The question is who and how to consider the TT-GISC comments. Does TT-OM update the monitoring specification?

[Action 14/1-4]

Ongoing.

[Action 14/1-5]

JMA has conducted a follow-up research on metadata synchronization issues discussed at TT-GISC meeting in February. Please see the attached files. There are a few GISCs that have not provided WIS-UNASSOCIATED set.

[Action 14/1-6]

GISC Tokyo: Done.
Please see the attached report for other GISCs.

[Action 14/1-7]

GISC Tokyo: Done.
Please see the attached report for other GISCs.

[Action 14/1-8]

Ongoing.
Continue the efforts to guide NC/DCPC in the AoR of Tokyo.

[Action 14/1-9]

Ongoing.
Continue the efforts to guide NC/DCPC in the AoR of Tokyo.

[Action 14/1-10]

No action.

[Action 14/1-11]

Noted.

It might be an idea to use only TT-GISC mailing list, because no one is using WIS Wiki forum and the mailing list functions as the single source of past discussions.

[Action 14/1-12]

On going

[Action 14/1-13]

GISC Tokyo volunteers for the pilot test of WIS monitoring.

[Action 14/1-14]

The sub team reported back to TT-GISC in June. It will be submitted to ET-WISC by TT-GISC.

[Action 14/1-15]

GISC Tokyo: Done

[Action 14/1-16]

No action

[Action 14/1-17]

No action so far.
It is important to define the scope clearly, as well as to take into account differences of software implementation and data policy between GISCs.

[Action 14/1-18]

Noted.
DWD and JMA are going to rework the document in order to resubmit it to next TT-GISC face to face meeting.

[Action 14/1-19]

No comment

[Action 14/1-20]

No comment

[Action 14/1-21]

No comment

[Action 14/1-22]

No comment

GISC Tokyo  comment

Annex 2

* Study the possibility to retrieve a slot of data through a high level metadata, for example: retrieve all ground observations for a country (question for TT-DC?)
	+ This topic had been discussed many times at IPET-MDRD and TT-ApMD, only to be found impossible to come to an agreement. We TT-GISC members may as well spend time on the other topics as spend time on this topic.
* Define the file format for data exchange, the file shall regroup the result of a query, and the name of the file shall use the file naming convention. (Paper made by Benjamin Saclier for ET-CTS)
	+ I understand that this proposal is about files exchanged through GTS and is considered by ET-CTS. Is this agenda for informational purpose, or could you please explain how this decision could affect TT-GISC activities? Standard file format for GISC cache is already agreed in Action 14/1-15.
* Define a common organization to retrieve data on ftp server, in order to facilitate exploration for GISC users when moving from one GISC to another.
	+ Use of FTP server is not mandatory in any documents. FTP Server might work for some centres but is not acceptable for others.

Regarding WIS monitoring,

GISC Tokyo has an intention of implementing the pilot test of WIS monitoring with GISC Offenbach.